

THE CONTINUOUS POSTAGE STAMP PROBLEM

VSEVOLOD F. LEV

ABSTRACT. For a real set A consider the semigroup $\mathcal{S}(A)$, additively generated by A ; that is, the set of all real numbers representable as a (finite) sum of elements of A . If $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ is open and non-empty, then $\mathcal{S}(A)$ is easily seen to contain all sufficiently large real numbers, and we let $G(A) := \sup\{u \in \mathbb{R} : u \notin \mathcal{S}(A)\}$. Thus $G(A)$ is the smallest number with the property that any $u > G(A)$ is representable as indicated above.

We show that if the measure of A is large, then $G(A)$ is small; more precisely, writing for brevity $\alpha := \text{mes } A$ we have

$$G(A) \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 0.1, \\ (1 - \alpha + \alpha\{1/\alpha\}) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor & \text{if } 0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5, \\ 2(1 - \alpha) & \text{if } 0.5 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, the first and the last of these three estimates are the best possible, attained for $A = (1 - \alpha, 1)$ and $A = (1 - \alpha, 1) \setminus \{2(1 - \alpha)\}$, respectively; the second is close to the best possible and can be improved by $\alpha\{1/\alpha\} \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor \leq \{1/\alpha\}$ at most.

The problem studied is a continuous analogue of the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius (in its extremal settings due to Erdős and Graham), also known as the “postage stamp problem” or the “coin exchange problem”.

1. BACKGROUND: THE DISCRETE POSTAGE STAMP PROBLEM

Let A be a non-empty set of positive integers such that $\gcd(A) = 1$. It is not difficult to see that all sufficiently large integers can be represented as a sum of elements of A . The problem of determining the largest integer $G(A)$ which does not have such a representation is known as the “linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius”. Though this problem is computational by its nature, there are numerous papers concentrating on *estimates* of $G(A)$.

Erdős and Graham posed in [EG72] the following extremal version of the Frobenius problem: given two positive integers $l \geq n$, estimate

$$\max\{G(A) : A \subseteq [1, l], |A| = n, \gcd(A) = 1\}. \quad (1)$$

The ideology here is that if A is dense, then $G(A)$ must be small. The basic result on the problem of Frobenius-Erdős-Graham was obtained by Dixmier in [D90]. (Several years later it was independently established by the present author as a corollary of a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11P99, secondary: 11B13.

general theorem on set addition; see [L97].) The exact value of (1) is known for some particular families of pairs (n, l) only, and also for $l \leq 3n - 2$; see [L96a].

2. PRELIMINARIES: EXAMPLES AND SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Motivated by their work on primality testing, Lenstra and Pomerance [LP03] stated recently an analogue of the Frobenius-Erdős-Graham problem for bounded sets A of positive *real* numbers. The condition $\gcd(A) = 1$ becomes then irrelevant, and scaling allows one to assume $A \subseteq (0, 1)$; thus, the parameter l is gone. Furthermore, following [LP03] we assume that A is open; this ensures measurability and forces A to be a finite or countable union of open intervals. Let $\mathcal{S}(A)$ denote the set of all numbers, representable as a finite sum of elements of A ; thus

$$\mathcal{S}(A) = \bigcup_{h=1}^{\infty} hA,$$

where hA is the set of all sums of exactly h elements of A .

Is it true, by the analogy with the integer case, that $\mathcal{S}(A)$ contains all sufficiently large numbers? Set

$$G(A) := \sup\{u \in \mathbb{R} : u \notin \mathcal{S}(A)\};$$

the question therefore is whether $G(A)$ is finite for any open non-empty subset $A \subseteq (0, 1)$. To proceed, we work out a simple, yet important, example.

Example 1. Suppose that A consists of one single interval: $A = (\beta, \gamma)$, where $\gamma > \beta \geq 0$. Then for $h \geq 1$ we have $hA = (h\beta, h\gamma)$, hence hA and $(h+1)A$ intersect if and only if $h\gamma > (h+1)\beta$, or equivalently $h > \beta/(\gamma - \beta)$; that is,

$$h \geq \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{\gamma - \beta} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - \beta} \right\rfloor.$$

It follows that $G(A) = \lfloor \gamma/(\gamma - \beta) \rfloor \beta$. In particular, if $A = (1 - \alpha, 1)$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ (so that $\alpha = \text{mes } A$), then $G(A) = (1 - \alpha) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor$.

Back to the general case, suppose that $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ is open and non-empty. Then A contains an open interval; say, $(\beta, \gamma) \subseteq A$. In view of Example 1 every $u > \lfloor \gamma/(\gamma - \beta) \rfloor \beta$ is representable as a sum of elements of (β, γ) , hence as a sum of elements of A ; this shows that $G(A)$ is finite and indeed that $G(A) \leq \lfloor \gamma/(\gamma - \beta) \rfloor \beta$.

The exact problem raised in [LP03] is to determine, for a fixed real number $s > 0$, how large the logarithmic measure $\int_A t^{-1} dt$ of an open subset $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ can be, given that $s \notin \mathcal{S}(A)$. This is essentially equivalent to the following question: given the logarithmic measure of A , how large can $G(A)$ be? It is this question that is

investigated in our paper, except that, following the lines of the classical Frobenius-Erdős-Graham problem, we will be concerned with the regular Lebesgue measure $\text{mes } A$ rather than the logarithmic measure.¹

We now present two constructions of open sets $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that, letting $\alpha = \text{mes } A$, we have $G(A) > (1 - \alpha) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor$ (compare with Example 1).

Example 2. Suppose that $\alpha \in (1/2, 1]$ and set $A := (1 - \alpha, 1) \setminus \{2(1 - \alpha)\}$. Then for $h \geq 2$ we have $hA = (h(1 - \alpha), h) \supseteq [h - 1, h)$, whence

$$\mathcal{S}(A) \supseteq \bigcup_{h=2}^{\infty} [h - 1, h) = [1, \infty)$$

and therefore $G(A) = 2(1 - \alpha)$.

Our second construction is more elaborate.

Example 3. Suppose that $\alpha \in (1/3, 1/2)$. Write

$$k := \left\lceil \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} \right\rceil - 2, \quad x := 1 - \frac{1}{k + 2}, \quad \text{and} \quad t := 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{k + 3}\right) (1 - \alpha),$$

so that $k \geq 2$. One checks easily that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k + 1}\right) < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k + 2}\right)$$

and

$$1 - \frac{1}{k} < x < \frac{1}{t} \leq 1,$$

and we let

$$A := \left(\frac{tx}{k}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \cup \left(\frac{2tx}{k}, \frac{2t}{k}\right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\frac{(k-1)tx}{k}, \frac{(k-1)t}{k}\right) \cup (tx, 1).$$

A routine verification shows that $\text{mes } A = \alpha$; on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} G(A) &= G\left(\left(\frac{tx}{k}, \frac{t}{k}\right)\right) = \frac{t}{k} G((x, 1)) \\ &= \frac{t}{k} x \left\lfloor \frac{1}{1 - x} \right\rfloor = \frac{k + 1}{k} t = 2 \frac{(k + 1)(k + 2)}{k(k + 3)} (1 - \alpha) \\ &= 2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{k(k + 3)}\right) (1 - \alpha) > (1 - \alpha) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to state the main result of our paper.

¹ For a complete solution of the original problem of Lenstra and Pomerance see the paper of Bleichenbacher [B03]. The method of Bleichenbacher is based on linear programming and can be applied to handle the Lebesgue measure, too; however in this case it yields somewhat weaker results.

Main Theorem. *Let $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ be an open set of measure $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Then*

$$G(A) \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 0.1, \\ (1 - \alpha + \alpha \{1/\alpha\}) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor & \text{if } 0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5, \\ 2(1 - \alpha) & \text{if } 0.5 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

As Examples 1 and 2 show, the first and the last of these three estimates are sharp while the second estimate can be improved by at most $\alpha \{1/\alpha\} \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor \leq \{1/\alpha\}$. On the other hand, we suspect that the first estimate can be extended onto the wider range $\alpha \in (0, 1/3]$. Indeed, for no $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ were we able to construct an open set $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ of measure α with $G(A)$ larger than that provided by Examples 1–3.

We believe that with a slight refinement of our method one can replace 0.1 in the statement of the Main Theorem by a somewhat larger value; perhaps, $1/8$ or so. However, to get closer to $1/3$ one needs substantially new ideas.

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of the Main Theorem. In Section 3 we first settle the case of dense sets ($\alpha > 0.5$); this is a simple part of the argument requiring nothing but an iterated application of the box principle. Using a theorem by Macbeath on addition of subsets of the torus group, we then derive the estimate for the range $\alpha \geq 0.1$.

The case $\alpha \leq 0.1$ is much subtler. In Section 4 we collect a number of auxiliary results from different sources needed to handle this case. Two more auxiliary results are established in Section 5. Having finished the preparations, we complete our treatment of the “sparse case” in Section 6.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: $0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

We use the box principle in the following “continuous” form.

Lemma 1. *Let v be a positive real number and suppose that an open subset $A \subseteq (0, v)$ satisfies $\text{mes } A > v/2$. Then $v \in 2A$.*

Proof. Just notice that the sets $A \subseteq (0, v)$ and $v - A := \{v - a : a \in A\} \subseteq (0, v)$ have a non-empty intersection in view of $\text{mes } A + \text{mes}(v - A) = 2 \text{mes } A > v$. $\square$

Proof of the Main Theorem for $0.5 < \alpha \leq 1$. Suppose that $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ is an open set with $\alpha := \text{mes } A \in (0.5, 1]$; we are to show that $(2(1 - \alpha), \infty) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$.

First we observe that $(2(1 - \alpha), 1] \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$: for if $2(1 - \alpha) < u \leq 1$ then $\text{mes}(A \cap (0, u)) \geq \alpha - (1 - u) > u/2$, hence $u \in 2A \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$ by Lemma 1.

Next we claim that $[1, 2\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$: this is because for any $u \in [1, 2\alpha)$ we can consider A as an open subset of $(0, u)$ of measure $\alpha > u/2$, and the claim follows by Lemma 1.

Finally, we use induction to prove that $[1, 2^k(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha)) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$ for any integer $k \geq 1$. For $k = 1$ this reduces to $[1, 2\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$, proven above. Suppose that $k \geq 2$. Then by the induction hypothesis for any u satisfying

$$2^{k-1}(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) \leq u < 2^k(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes}(\mathcal{S}(A) \cap (0, u)) &\geq \alpha + (2^{k-1}(2\alpha - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha) - 1) \\ &= 2^{k-1}(2\alpha - 1) + (1 - \alpha) > u/2, \end{aligned}$$

whence $u \in 2\mathcal{S}(A) = \mathcal{S}(A)$ by Lemma 1. This proves the Main Theorem for the case $0.5 < \alpha \leq 1$. $\square$

We recall a classical theorem by Macbeath on addition of torus subsets.² In this theorem and throughout the rest of the paper, for an integer $h \geq 1$ and subsets $A_1, \dots, A_h$ of an abelian group, we write

$$A_1 + \dots + A_h := \{a_1 + \dots + a_h : a_1 \in A_1, \dots, a_h \in A_h\}.$$

We use the abbreviation hA for the sum of h instances of the same set A . (For the special case where A is an open subset of $(0, 1)$ this notation was introduced at the beginning of Section 2.)

Theorem 1 (Macbeath [M53]). *Suppose that A and B are open subsets of the torus group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\text{mes}(A + B) \geq \min\{\text{mes } A + \text{mes } B, 1\}.$$

Proof of the Main Theorem for $0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5$. We actually show that if $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ is a non-empty open set with $\alpha := \text{mes } A \leq 0.5$, then $G(A) \leq (1 - \alpha + \alpha \{1/\alpha\}) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor$; this strengthening of the second inequality of the Main Theorem will be used in Section 6 in the course of the proof of the first inequality.

Write $\sigma := \sup A$ and let $A' := \{\sigma^{-1}a : a \in A\}$. Consider an open subset $A_0 \subseteq A'$ such that $\text{mes } A_0 = \alpha$ and $\sup A_0 = 1$. Then $G(A) = \sigma G(A') \leq \sigma G(A_0) \leq G(A_0)$, which shows that $\sup A = 1$ can be assumed without loss of generality.

For positive integer j , set $S_j := \mathcal{S}(A) \cap (j - 1, j)$, and denote by $\overline{A}$ and $\overline{S}_j$ the canonical images of A and S_j , respectively, in the torus group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. In view of the assumption $\sup A = 1$ and since S_j are open sets, we have $S_j + 1 \subseteq S_{j+1}$, implying $\overline{S}_j \subseteq \overline{S}_{j+1}$. Now from $S_j + A \subseteq S_j \cup S_{j+1} \cup \{j\}$ we get $\overline{S}_j + \overline{A} \subseteq \overline{S}_j \cup \overline{S}_{j+1} \cup \{0\} = \overline{S}_{j+1} \cup \{0\}$, whence $\text{mes } S_{j+1} \geq \min\{\text{mes } S_j + \alpha, 1\}$ by Theorem 1. Induction by j yields

$$\text{mes } S_j \geq \min\{j\alpha, 1\}; \quad j \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

²In fact, the original Macbeath' theorem is slightly stronger than its version presented below.

Let $k := \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor$ and write $T := \mathcal{S}(A) \cap (0, k)$. By (2) we have

$$\text{mes } T = \text{mes } S_1 + \text{mes } S_2 + \dots + \text{mes } S_k \geq (k(k+1)/2) \alpha > k/2.$$

Consequently, applying the third inequality of the Main Theorem (established above) to the open set $T' := \{k^{-1}t : t \in T\} \subseteq (0, 1)$ of measure $\text{mes } T' = k^{-1} \text{mes } T > 0.5$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(A) = G(T) &= kG(T') \leq 2k(1 - \text{mes } T') = 2k(1 - k^{-1} \text{mes } T) \\ &\leq 2k(1 - ((k+1)/2)\alpha) = (2 - (\lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor + 1)\alpha) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor \\ &= (1 - \alpha + \alpha\{1/\alpha\}) \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

We gather here several results that will be used in Sections 5 and 6 to prove the first inequality of the Main Theorem.

We start with a basic theorem by Cauchy and Davenport. For a positive integer p by $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we denote the group of residues modulo p .

Theorem 2 (Cauchy-Davenport [C13, D35]). *For any prime p and any non-empty subsets $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$|A + B| \geq \min\{|A| + |B| - 1, p\}.$$

Straightforward induction yields $|A_1 + \dots + A_h| \geq \min\{|A_1| + \dots + |A_h| - (h-1), p\}$ for any integer $h \geq 2$ and non-empty subsets $A_1, \dots, A_h \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This readily implies the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let h be a positive integer and p a prime number. Suppose that non-empty subsets $A_1, \dots, A_h \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $A_1 + \dots + A_h \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$|A_1| + \dots + |A_h| \leq p + h - 2.$$

The next lemma is due to Freiman. For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ denote by $S(\bmod 1)$ the canonical image of S in the torus group $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 2 (Freiman [F62]). *Let n be a positive integer and let $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Write $S := \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i z_j}$. Then there exists a real number β such that*

$$\#\{j \in [1, n] : z_j \in [\beta, \beta + 1/2) \pmod{1}\} \geq \frac{n + |S|}{2}.$$

We need yet another well-known result by Freiman.

Theorem 3 (Freiman, see [F66, Theorem 1.9]). *Let A be a finite set of integers such that $\min A = 0$ and $\gcd A = 1$. Write $n := |A|$ and $l := \max A$. Then*

$$|2A| \geq \min\{l, 2n - 3\} + n.$$

Now we quote a theorem by the present author which extends Theorem 3 to the case of h -fold set addition for any positive integer h .

Theorem 4 (Lev [L96b, Corollary 1]). *Let A be a finite set of integers such that $\min A = 0$ and $\gcd A = 1$. Write $n := |A|$, $l := \max A$, and $\kappa := \lfloor (l - 1)/(n - 2) \rfloor$. Then for any integer $h \geq 1$ we have*

$$|hA| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{h(h+1)}{2}(n-2) + h + 1 & \text{if } h \leq \kappa, \\ \frac{\kappa(\kappa+1)}{2}(n-2) + \kappa + 1 + (h - \kappa)l & \text{if } h \geq \kappa. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 2. *Let A be a finite set of $n := |A| \geq 3$ integers such that $\min A = 0$ and $\gcd A = 1$. Suppose that $l := \max A \leq 2n - 4$. Then for any integer $h \geq 1$ we have*

$$|hA| \geq n + (h - 1)l.$$

Finally, we present a result which describes the *structure* of the sets hA and shows that under certain conditions, these sets contain long blocks of consecutive integers.

Theorem 5 (Lev, reformulation of [L97, Theorem 1]). *Let A be a finite set of integers such that $\min A = 0$ and $\gcd A = 1$. Write $n := |A|$, $l := \max A$, and $\kappa := \lfloor (l - 1)/(n - 2) \rfloor$. Then for any integer $h \geq 2\kappa$ we have*

$$[(2l - (\kappa + 1)(n - 2) - 2)\kappa, hl - (2l - (\kappa + 1)(n - 2) - 2)\kappa] \subseteq hA.$$

5. MORE AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we establish two more results needed to complete the proof of the Main Theorem. The first one is a “continuous version” of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. *Let $A \subseteq (0, 1)$ be an open set such that $\inf A = 0$ and $\sup A = 1$. Write $\alpha := \text{mes } A$ and $\kappa := \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor$. Then for any integer $h \geq 2\kappa$ we have*

$$((2 - (\kappa + 1)\alpha)\kappa, h - (2 - (\kappa + 1)\alpha)\kappa) \subseteq hA.$$

A simple rescaling yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let A be a non-empty bounded open set of real numbers. Write*

$$v := \inf A, \quad w := \sup A, \quad \lambda := w - v, \quad \alpha := \text{mes } A, \quad \kappa := \lfloor \lambda/\alpha \rfloor.$$

Then for any integer $h \geq 2\kappa$ we have

$$(vh + (2\lambda - (\kappa + 1)\alpha)\kappa, wh - (2\lambda - (\kappa + 1)\alpha)\kappa) \subseteq hA.$$

Proof of Theorem 6. First we prove the assertion assuming that A is a union of a finite number, say m , of open intervals. For a sufficiently large integer l (it will be seen shortly what is sufficient for our purposes) define

$$A_l := \{0\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{Z}: [z/l, (z+1)/l) \subseteq A\}$$

and set $n := |A_l|$, so that $A_l \subseteq [0, l-1]$ and

$$\alpha l - 2m + 1 \leq n < \alpha l + 1. \quad (3)$$

We have $\min A_l = 0$, $\max A_l = l-1$ since $\sup A = 1$, and $\gcd A_l = 1$ since A_l contains consecutive integers.

Let $k := \lfloor (l-2)/(n-2) \rfloor$. By (3),

$$k \leq \frac{l-2}{n-2} < \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{n+2m}{n-2} = \frac{1}{\alpha} + O(1/n)$$

with the implicit constant depending on m and α , and it follows that $k \leq \kappa$. On the other hand, we claim that $k \geq \kappa$. Indeed, this is clear if $\alpha > 1/2$ (where $\kappa = 1$), while for $\alpha \leq 1/2$ from (3) we get $n < l/2 + 1$ whence $l > 2n - 2$ and therefore

$$\frac{l-2}{n-2} > \frac{l}{n-1} > \frac{1}{\alpha} \geq \kappa.$$

Thus, $k = \kappa$ and by Theorem 5 as applied to the set A_l we have

$$[(2l - (\kappa + 1)(n - 2) - 4)\kappa, h(l - 1) - (2l - (\kappa + 1)(n - 2) - 4)\kappa] \subseteq hA_l,$$

hence

$$\left[\left(2 - \frac{(\kappa + 1)(n - 2) + 4}{l} \right) \kappa, h - \frac{1}{l} - \left(2 - \frac{(\kappa + 1)(n - 2) + 4}{l} \right) \kappa \right] \subseteq hA.$$

It remains to notice that

$$(\kappa + 1)(n - 2) + 4 > (\kappa + 1)(\alpha l - 2m - 1) = (\kappa + 1)\alpha l + O(1).$$

Now that Theorem 6, and therefore Corollary 3, are established when A is a finite union of intervals, we turn to the general case. Evidently, for any set A satisfying the assumptions of the theorem and any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a subset $A' \subseteq A$ which is a union of a finite number of intervals and such that $v' := \inf A' < \varepsilon$, $w' := \sup A' > 1 - \varepsilon$, and $\alpha' := \text{mes } A' > \alpha - \varepsilon$. If ε is small enough then $\lfloor 1/\alpha' \rfloor = \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor$ and applying Corollary 3 to the set A' we get

$$(v'h + (2(w' - v') - (\kappa + 1)\alpha')\kappa, w'h - (2(w' - v') - (\kappa + 1)\alpha')\kappa) \subseteq hA' \subseteq hA.$$

The assertion follows. $\square$

Another result of this section can be considered as a strengthening of Corollary 1 for the special case of equal set summands. Its proof roughly follows the lines of a well-known argument by Freiman (see [F66, Theorem 2.1]).

For a positive integer p and an integer set S , by $S \pmod{p}$ we denote the canonical image of S in the residue group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. The set of non-zero elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is denoted $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Lemma 3. *For any integer $k \geq 8$ there exists an integer p_0 with the following property. Let $p > p_0$ be a prime number and suppose that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $n := |A| > p/(k+1)$ and $kA \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then A is contained in an arithmetic progression of at most $(p-2n)/(k-2) + 1$ terms.*

Proof. We split the argument into several steps.

Step 1. For $z \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ set $\widehat{A}(z) := \sum_{a \in A} e^{-2\pi i az/p}$ and let $M := \max\{|\widehat{A}(z)| : z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times\}$. Fix an element $g \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $g \notin kA$. Then

$$\sum_{z=0}^{p-1} (\widehat{A}(z))^k e^{2\pi i \frac{gz}{p}} = 0$$

and using Parseval's identity we get

$$n^k = - \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} (\widehat{A}(z))^k e^{2\pi i \frac{gz}{p}} \leq \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} |\widehat{A}(z)|^k \leq M^{k-2} \sum_{z=1}^{p-1} |\widehat{A}(z)|^2 = M^{k-2} n(p-n).$$

It follows that

$$M \geq n \left(\frac{n}{p-n} \right)^{1/(k-2)} > \kappa n$$

with $\kappa := k^{-1/(k-2)}$. Choose $z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ so that $M = |\widehat{A}(z)|$ and therefore $|\widehat{A}(z)| > \kappa n$. By Lemma 2 there exists an integer u such that

$$\#\{a \in A : az \in [u, u + (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}\} > \frac{1+\kappa}{2} n.$$

Thus, if d is the inverse of z modulo p and if we set $v := du$ then, letting $A_1 := \{v, v+d, \dots, v + ((p-1)/2)d\} \cap A$ and $n_1 := |A_1|$, we have

$$n_1 > \frac{1+\kappa}{2} n. \tag{4}$$

Set $B_1 := \{b \in [0, (p-1)/2] : v + bd \in A_1\}$. Applying a suitable linear transformation to A , we can assume without violating (4) that $v = 0 \in A_1$ (whence $\min B_1 = 0$), $d = 1$, and $\gcd(B_1) = 1$, and we write then $l_1 := \max B_1$. Thus $B_1 \subseteq [0, l_1]$ and $A_1 = B_1 \pmod{p}$. Evidently, we have $|B_1| = |A_1|$ and since $l_1 \leq (p-1)/2$ it is easily seen that $|2A_1| = |2B_1|$.

Step 2. We claim that $l_1 < p/6$. First, we prove that $l_1 \leq 2n_1 - 4$. For, assuming $l_1 \geq 2n_1 - 3$, by Theorem 3 we obtain $|2A_1| = |2B_1| \geq 3n_1 - 3$; then in view of $2A_1 + 2A_1 + (k-4)A \subseteq kA \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, applying Corollary 1 to two instances of the set $2A_1$ and $k-4$ instances of the set A we get

$$\begin{aligned} p + k - 4 &\geq 2|2A_1| + (k-4)|A| > (6n_1 - 6) + (k-4)n \\ &> (3(\kappa+1) + (k-4))n - 6 > \frac{k-1+3\kappa}{k+1}p - 6 \\ &= p + \frac{3\kappa-2}{k+1}p - 6. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

However, since $3\kappa > 2$ this is wrong for sufficiently large p , a contradiction.

We see that $l_1 \leq 2n_1 - 4$ and applying Theorem 3 once again we get

$$|2A_1| = |2B_1| \geq n_1 + l_1. \quad (6)$$

If k is even then from $(k/2)(2A_1) \subseteq kA \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, applying Corollary 1 to $k/2$ instances of the set $2A_1$ we get

$$p + \frac{k}{2} - 2 \geq \frac{k}{2}|2A_1| \geq \frac{k}{2}(n_1 + l_1),$$

hence

$$l_1 < \frac{2}{k}p - n_1 + 1 < \left(\frac{2}{k} - \frac{\kappa+1}{2(k+1)} \right) p + 1 < \frac{1}{6}p,$$

as the expression in the parentheses is smaller than $1/6$ for $k \geq 8$. Similarly, if k is odd then from $\frac{k-1}{2}(2A_1) + A \subseteq kA \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we obtain

$$p + \frac{k+1}{2} - 2 \geq \frac{k-1}{2}(l_1 + n_1) + n$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &< \frac{2}{k-1}p - \left(\frac{2}{k-1} + \frac{\kappa+1}{2} \right) n + 1 \\ &< \left(\frac{2}{k-1} - \frac{2}{k^2-1} - \frac{\kappa+1}{2(k+1)} \right) p + 1 \\ &= \left(\frac{2k}{k^2-1} - \frac{\kappa+1}{2(k+1)} \right) p + 1 < \frac{1}{6}p, \end{aligned}$$

as the expression in the last pair of parentheses is smaller than $1/6$ for $k \geq 9$.

Step 3. Our next claim is that $A \subseteq [-l_1, 2l_1] \pmod{p}$; informally, if we extend the interval $[0, l_1] \pmod{p}$ (in which A_1 resides) by l_1 in both directions, then the resulting interval covers the whole set A . This follows from the observation that if $a \in A$ is *not* contained in this extended interval, then $2A_1$ and $a + A_1$ are disjoint subsets of $2A$; hence $|2A| \geq |a + A_1| + |2A_1| \geq 2n_1 + l_1 \geq 3n_1 - 1$ by (6); this, however, leads

to a contradiction as in (5): just notice that $2A + 2A + (k - 4)A \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and apply Corollary 1 to two instances of $2A$ and $k - 4$ instances of A . This shows that A is contained in a set of $3l_1 + 1 \leq (p + 1)/2$ consecutive elements of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and applying again a suitable linear transformation to A we can assume that $A = B \pmod{p}$ for a set $B \subseteq [0, (p - 1)/2]$ such that $0 \in B$ and $\gcd B = 1$.

Step 4. Let $l := \max B$. We have

$$l \leq 2n - 4 : \quad (7)$$

for otherwise $|2A| = |2B| \geq 3n - 3$ by Theorem 3 and again we get a contradiction as in (5). Applying Theorem 3 once again we get $|2A| = |2B| \geq l + n$. Now by Corollary 1 for k even from $(k/2)(2A) \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p + \frac{k}{2} - 2 &\geq \frac{k}{2} |2A| \geq \frac{k}{2} (l + n), \\ l &< \frac{2}{k} p - n + 1 < \left(\frac{2}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1} \right) p + 1 < \frac{p}{k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and for k odd from $\frac{k-1}{2}(2A) + A \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p + \frac{k+1}{2} - 2 &\geq \frac{k-1}{2} |2A| + |A| \geq \frac{k-1}{2} (l + n) + n, \\ l &< \frac{2}{k-1} p - \left(1 + \frac{2}{k-1} \right) n + 1 = \frac{2}{k-1} p - \frac{k+1}{k-1} n + 1 < \frac{p}{k-1} + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Step 5. From (8), (9), and the definition of l we conclude that

$$|(k-1)A| \geq |(k-1)B| - k, \quad (10)$$

hence recalling (7) and using Corollary 2 we get $|(k-1)A| \geq n + (k-2)l - k$. Now applying Corollary 1 to the sets $(k-1)A$ and A we obtain

$$p \geq 2n + (k-2)l - k, \quad (11)$$

implying

$$l < \frac{p-2n}{k-2} + 2 < \frac{1-2/(k+1)}{k-2} p + 2 < \frac{p}{k-1}$$

an thus providing a small, but important strengthening of (9). Now (10) can be strengthened to $|(k-1)A| = |(k-1)B|$, and accordingly (11) to $p \geq 2n + (k-2)l$. Therefore $l \leq (p-2n)/(k-2)$ from which the assertion follows. This proves Lemma 3. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: $0 < \alpha \leq 0.1$

Proof of the Main Theorem for $0 < \alpha \leq 0.1$. Write $k := \lfloor 1/\alpha \rfloor \geq 10$ and suppose, for a contradiction, that $G(A) > (1 - \alpha)k$. As in the proof of Lemma 3, we proceed by steps.

Step 1. Without loss of generality we can assume that A satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) A is a *finite* union of open intervals;
- (ii) $(2A) \cap (0, 1) = A$ (informally, “ A is closed under addition in $(0, 1)$ ”);
- (iii) $\sup A = 1$.

For if (i) fails, we consider a subset $A' \subseteq A$ which is a finite union of open intervals and the measure of which $\alpha' := \text{mes } A'$ is sufficiently close to α ; specifically, we request that $\lfloor 1/\alpha' \rfloor = k$ and $G(A) > (1 - \alpha')k$. Then $G(A') \geq G(A) > (1 - \alpha')k$ and we simply replace A with A' and α with α' .

Now assume that (i) holds and replace A with $A'' := \mathcal{S}(A) \cap (t, 1)$, where $t \in [0, 1)$ is so chosen that $\text{mes } A'' = \alpha$. Clearly, this new set remains a finite union of open intervals and in addition satisfies $(2A'') \cap (0, 1) = A''$. Also, $G(A'') \geq G(A) > (1 - \alpha)k$.

Eventually, assuming both (i) and (ii) write $\sigma := \sup A$ and replace A with $A''' := \{\sigma^{-1}a : a \in A\} \cap (t, 1)$, where (as above) we choose $t \in [0, 1)$ so that $\text{mes } A''' = \alpha$. Then (i) and (ii) remain valid and (iii) becomes true, too, while $G(A''') \geq G(A) > (1 - \alpha)k$.

Let m denote the number of intervals in A .

Step 2. Write $\overline{A} := A \pmod{1}$; we claim that

$$k(\overline{A} \cup \{0\}) \neq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}. \quad (12)$$

Assume the opposite. Then for any real $u \in (k - 1, k)$ there exist integers $s \in [1, k]$ and $z \geq 0$ and elements $a_1, \dots, a_s \in A$ such that $u = a_1 + \dots + a_s + z$. Since A is an open set and in view of $\sup A = 1$ we conclude that $u \in \mathcal{S}(A)$, whence

$$(k - 1, k) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A). \quad (13)$$

However, as shown in Section 3 (see remark at the beginning of the proof of the Main Theorem for $0.1 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5$) we have

$$G(A) \leq (1 - \alpha + \alpha\{1/\alpha\})k < k. \quad (14)$$

Comparing (13) and (14) we get $G(A) \leq k - 1 \leq (1 - \alpha)k$, a contradiction establishing (12).

Fix a large prime number p (it can be figured out from the subsequent argument exactly how large p is to be) and define

$$A_p := \{0\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{Z} : [z/p, (z + 1)/p) \subseteq A\},$$

so that $A_p \subseteq [0, p-1]$. Write $\overline{A}_p := A_p \pmod{p}$ and let $z \in [1, p-1]$. If the canonical image $\overline{z}$ of z in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\overline{z} \in k\overline{A}_p$, then clearly $[z/p, (z+1)/p] \pmod{1} \subseteq k(\overline{A} \cup \{0\})$. It follows that $k\overline{A}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ would imply $[1/p, 1) \pmod{1} \subseteq k(\overline{A} \cup \{0\})$, which is wrong for sufficiently large p in view of (12) and $0 \in k(\overline{A} \cup \{0\})$. Thus

$$k\overline{A}_p \neq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}. \quad (15)$$

Step 3. Set $n := |\overline{A}_p|$. By (i), for p large enough we have

$$n = \alpha p + O(1) > p/(k+1) \quad (16)$$

(with the implicit constant depending on the number of intervals m). By (15), (16), and Lemma 3, there exist $\overline{d} \in [1, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}$ and non-negative integers n_1, n_2 such that

$$n_1 + n_2 \leq \frac{p-2n}{k-2} \quad (17)$$

and $\overline{A}_p \subseteq \{-n_1\overline{d}, \dots, -\overline{d}, 0, \overline{d}, \dots, n_2\overline{d}\}$. (Recall, that $0 \in A_p$.) Clearly, we can assume without loss of generality that $-n_1\overline{d}, n_2\overline{d} \in \overline{A}_p$. Let $d \in [1, (p-1)/2]$ be the integer such that $\overline{d}$ is the canonical image of d .

Evidently, $\overline{A}_p$ is a union of at most $m+1$ arithmetic progressions with difference 1. The longest of these progressions has at least $n/(m+1)$ terms, hence there exist integers $s \geq n/(m+1)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_s \in [-n_1, n_2]$ such that

$$(x_{i+1} - x_i)d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}; \quad i = 1, \dots, s-1. \quad (18)$$

Define $d' \in (-p/2, p/2)$ by $dd' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Multiplying (18) by d' we get $x_{i+1} - x_i \equiv d' \pmod{p}$ whence $x_{i+1} - x_i = d'$ and therefore $x_s - x_1 = (s-1)d'$, implying

$$|d'| \leq \frac{n_2 + n_1}{s-1} < \frac{p}{k-2} \frac{3m}{n} < \frac{k+1}{k-2} \cdot 3m < 5m \quad (19)$$

by (16) and (17).

We have either $|dd'| = 1$, in which case $d = 1$, or $|dd'| \geq p-1$, and then

$$d \geq \frac{p-1}{5m-1} > \frac{p}{5m} \quad (20)$$

by (19). We proceed to show that indeed $d = 1$.

Step 4. Assume that (20) holds true. We observe that property (ii) implies

$$2(\overline{A}_p \cap [0, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}) \subseteq \overline{A}_p. \quad (21)$$

It follows, in particular, that

$$n_1\overline{d} \in [0, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p} : \quad (22)$$

for otherwise $-n_1\bar{d} \in [1, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}$ and then $-2n_1\bar{d} \in \bar{A}_p$ by (21), hence $-2n_1 \in [-n_1, n_2]$, which is wrong. Similarly,

$$n_2\bar{d} \in [(p+1)/2, p] \pmod{p}. \quad (23)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &:= \#\{x \in [-n_1, -n_1/2]: x\bar{d} \in [0, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}\} \\ &= \#\{x \in (n_1/2, n_1]: x\bar{d} \in [(p+1)/2, p] \pmod{p}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N_2 := \#\{x \in (n_2/2, n_2]: x\bar{d} \in [0, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}\}.$$

We show that

$$N_i \geq \frac{n_i}{8} - \frac{p}{2d}; \quad i = 1, 2; \quad (24)$$

then in view of (20), (17), and (16) we will get

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 + N_2 &\geq \frac{n_1 + n_2}{8} - \frac{p}{d} \\ &> n_1 + n_2 - \frac{7}{8}(n_1 + n_2) - 5m \\ &\geq n_1 + n_2 - \frac{7}{8} \frac{p-2n}{k-2} - 5m \\ &= n_1 + n_2 - n + \frac{4k-1}{4(k-2)}n - \frac{7}{8} \frac{p}{k-2} - 5m \\ &> n_1 + n_2 - n + \frac{1}{8(k-2)} \left(\frac{2(4k-1)}{k+1} - 7 \right) p - 5m \\ &= n_1 + n_2 - n + \frac{k-9}{8(k-2)(k+1)} p - 5m \\ &> n_1 + n_2 + 1 - n \end{aligned}$$

so that there exists an integer $x \in [-n_1, -n_1/2) \cup (n_2/2, n_2]$ such that $x\bar{d} \in \bar{A}_p \cap [0, (p-1)/2] \pmod{p}$. Considering the doubling $2x\bar{d}$ and taking into account (21) we obtain then a contradiction.

Step 5. As we have just shown, to prove that $d = 1$ it suffices to establish (24). We address the estimate of N_1 only; N_2 can be estimated in a similar way. Clearly, we can assume that $n_1 > 0$, and then by (22) there exists a non-negative integer t such that

$$\frac{2tp}{2d} \leq n_1 < \frac{(2t+1)p}{2d}.$$

For any integer $s \in [(t/2) + 1, t]$ we have

$$\frac{(2s-1)p}{2d} \geq \frac{(t+1)p}{2d} > \frac{n_1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2sp}{2d} \leq \frac{2tp}{2d} \leq n_1,$$

hence the interval

$$I_s := \left(\frac{(2s-1)p}{2d}, \frac{2sp}{2d} \right]$$

satisfies $I_s \subseteq (n_1/2, n_1]$. The length of I_s is $p/(2d) > 1$; thus the number of integers $x \in I_s$ is at least $p/(4d)$ and evidently, $\bar{d}x \in [(p+1)/2, p] \pmod{p}$ for any such integer. It follows that

$$N_1 \geq \sum_{(t/2)+1 \leq s \leq t} |I_s| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \right\rfloor \frac{p}{4d} > \left(\frac{t}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{p}{4d} > \frac{n_1}{8} - \frac{p}{2d},$$

as required.

Step 6. We proved that $d = 1$ and comparing (23) with (17) we conclude that $n_2 = 0$; that is, $\bar{A}_p \subseteq [-n_1, 0] \pmod{p}$. Letting $\tau := \inf A$ and $\lambda := 1 - \tau$ we obtain

$$\tau \geq 1 - \frac{n_1 + 1}{p} \geq 1 - \left(\frac{p - 2n}{k - 2} + 1 \right) p^{-1} = 1 - \frac{1 - 2n/p}{k - 2} - \frac{1}{p};$$

recalling (16) we get $\tau \geq 1 - (1 - 2\alpha)/(k - 2)$, whence

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1 - 2\alpha}{k - 2} = \alpha \left(1 + \frac{1/\alpha - k}{k - 2} \right) < \alpha \left(1 + \frac{1}{k - 2} \right) < 2\alpha.$$

To complete the proof we invoke Corollary 3 which gives

$$(h\tau + 2(\lambda - \alpha), h - 2(\lambda - \alpha)) \subseteq hA \subseteq \mathcal{S}(A)$$

for any integer $h \geq 2$. It remains to observe that if $h \geq k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h - 2(\lambda - \alpha) &= (h + 1)\tau + (h + 1)\lambda - 1 - 2(\lambda - \alpha) \\ &= (h + 1)\tau + 2(\lambda - \alpha) + (4\alpha + (h - 3)\lambda - 1) \\ &\geq (h + 1)\tau + 2(\lambda - \alpha) + (h + 1)\alpha - 1 \\ &> (h + 1)\tau + 2(\lambda - \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$G(A) \leq k\tau + 2(\lambda - \alpha) = k - (k - 2)\lambda - 2\alpha \leq k - (k - 2)\alpha - 2\alpha = (1 - \alpha)k,$$

contrary to the assumption. This completes the proof of the Main Theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [B03] D. BLEICHENBACHER, *The continuous postage stamp problem*, preprint (2003).
- [C13] A.L. CAUCHY, Recherches sur les nombres, *J. École polytech.* **9** (1813), 99–116.
- [D35] H. DAVENPORT, On the addition of residue classes, *J. London Math. Soc.* **10** (1935), 30–32.
- [D90] J. DIXMIER, Proof of a conjecture by Erdős and Graham concerning the problem of Frobenius, *J. Number Theory* **34** (2) (1990), 198–209.
- [F62] G.A. FREIMAN, Inverse problems of additive number theory. VII. The addition of finite sets. IV. The method of trigonometric sums, (Russian) *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika* **6** (31) (1962), 131–144.
- [F66] ———, *Nachala strukturnoi teorii slozheniya mnozhestv* (Russian) [Elements of a structural theory of set addition], Kazan. Gosudarstv. Ped. Inst; Elabuzh. Gosudarstv. Ped. Inst., Kazan, 1966. English translation in: *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1973.
- [EG72] P. ERDŐS and R.L. GRAHAM, On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *Acta Arith.* **21** (1972), 399–408.
- [LP03] H.W. LENSTRA, JR. and C. POMERANCE, *Primality testing with Gaussian periods*, preprint (2003).
- [L96a] V. LEV, On the extremal aspect of the Frobenius problem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **73** (1) (1996), 111–119.
- [L96b] ———, Structure theorem for multiples addition and the Frobenius problem, *J. Number Theory* **58** (1) (1996), 79–88.
- [L97] ———, Optimal representations by sumsets and subset sums, *J. Number Theory* **62** (1) (1997), 127–143.
- [M53] A.M. MACBEATH, On measure of sum sets. II. The sum-theorem for the torus, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **49** (1953), 40–43.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA AT ORANIM, TIVON 36006, ISRAEL.

E-mail address: `seva@math.haifa.ac.il`